

*Bruce T. Beesley*

Honorable Bruce T. Beesley  
United States Bankruptcy Judge



Entered on Docket  
June 18, 2015

STEPHEN R. HARRIS, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 001463  
HARRIS LAW PRACTICE LLC  
6151 Lakeside Drive, Suite 2100  
Reno, NV 89511  
Telephone: (775) 786-7600  
E-Mail: [steve@harrislawreno.com](mailto:steve@harrislawreno.com)  
Attorneys for Trustee/Plaintiff

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

IN RE:

Case No.: BK-13-50937-btb  
(Chapter 7)

BLUE SUGARS CORPORATION  
FDBA KL ENERGY CORP,

Adversary Case No. 14-5046-btb

Debtor.

**ORDER DISMISSING ADVERSARY CASE**

W. DONALD GIESEKE, TRUSTEE  
OF THE BANKRUPT ESTATE OF  
BLUE SUGARS CORPORATION,

HRG. DATE: March 12, 2015  
HRG TIME: 10:00 a.m.

Plaintiff,

CONT HRG. DATE: May 21, 2015  
CONT HRG. TIME: 10:00 A.M.

vs.

PETROBRAS AMERICA, INC., A  
DELAWARE CORPORATION;  
PETROLEO BRASILEIRO S.A. –  
PETROBRAS,  
Defendants.

1 This matter came before the Court on the motion of Defendant, Petrobras America, Inc.;  
2 Stephen Harris, Esq. and Michael Lehnars, Esq., appeared on behalf of Plaintiff/Trustee W.  
3 Donald Gieseke; Timothy A. Lukas, Esq., appeared on behalf of Defendant Petrobras America,  
4 Inc.; the Plaintiff, pursuant to FRBP. 7041(a)(1)A), informed the Court that is was voluntarily  
5 dismissing the Complaint, without prejudice; and no answer had been filed by Petrobras  
6 America, Inc.; with good cause appearing:

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Plaintiff's Complaint is hereby dismissed, without  
8 prejudice.

9 SUBMITTED BY:

11 STEPHEN R. HARRIS, ESQ.  
12 HARRIS LAW PRACTICE LLC

13 */s/ Stephen R. Harris*

14 \_\_\_\_\_  
Attorneys for Plaintiff/Trustee

15 APPROVED BY:

17 TIMOTHY A. LUKAS, ESQ.  
18 HOLLAND & HART LLP

19 */s/ Timothy A. Lukas*

20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 Attorneys for Defendant  
22 Petrobras America, Inc.

**CERTIFICATION RE: RULE 9021**

In accordance with Local Rule 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the order accurately reflects the court's ruling and that (check one):

\_\_\_\_\_ The court has waived the requirement set forth in LR 9021(b)(1).

\_\_\_\_\_ No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion.

\_\_\_\_\_ I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, and any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:

Timothy A. Lukas, Esq.

Attorney for Petrobras America, Inc.

approved/~~disapproved~~

\_\_\_\_\_ I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this order with the motion pursuant to LR9014(g), and that no party has objected to the form or content of the order.

Dated this 17th day of June, 2015.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen R. Harris, Esq.  
HARRIS LAW PRACTICE LLC